G. R S IX-51

*Previous references*

1920. 24
( Mar )

File No 520 of 20, 24

Serial Nos 53

*Later references*

Preserved

# GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT

preserve.

*Serial Nos*

Part B for April 24

SUBJECT

Confirmation of Dharmaraya Swami Temple at Bangalore City.

WD 2238—GPL—20,000—11 6 20

G. R S. 11] 1920-21

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

( Miscellaneous )

NOTES.

File No. 5-20

FILE HEADING.

Karaga festival of Dharmaraya Swami temple at Bangalore.

From

Yajamans Subrayappa and certain others.

No. Petition Dated 18.6.921 Received 18.6.921.

| Diary No. 458 | Enclos — | Spare Copies — | Serial No. |
|---|---|---|---|

BRIEF ABSTRACT OF LETTER.

Complain that a Sum of Rs 500/ sanctioned for Karaga expenses, has been handed over to Messrs. Venkata Swa and another Dharmadarsi, who are detrimental to the smooth working of the festivities etc.

NOTES AND ORDERS.

In G.O. no. 4497-9/Mis. 707-18 Dt 29.6.20, a Sum of Rs 500/ has been sanctioned for "Karaga and Rajotsava".

The petition was presented to the members. Members's orders :— "It seems to me that under

NOTES—First Sheet.

G. R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat. | Inam File No. S-20 of 1924.

Serial No.

the circumstances, the Dy. Comr may be informed at once to give the Amildar & ~~keep~~ the case himself and to have it disposed under his authority, pending orders in the case.

Submitted with a Dft. to the Dy Comr as directed.

18-4-21 Bdl 18/4/21

To the Dy Comr Bangalore
No 3707/Misc 520-2024
dt 19-4-21 forwards the above petn and requests that Dy Comr will direct the Amildar to keep the Amn. himself & have it disposed under his authority.

From, the Private Secretary to H.H. The Maharaja, C.M. no. 2727/751 dt 18.4.21.

Forwards for disposal telegram from Rajamane Subbayappa complaining that the Amildar is supporting factious spirit among ryots in the matter of the Kraya of Dharmaraja Swamy temple tumbla, and that immediate enquiry will bring to light shrinking of temple money.

A dft. forwarding the petition in Cantn to the Dy. Comr is submitted.

Bdl 20/4/21 20-4-21.

20/4/21

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

G. R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 5W of 1921

Serial No.

Petn of WJ 20/4/21

Re-Offr. submitted

M 21.4.21 BG 21.4.21

Secd Member WJ 21/4/21

5783-145.
21.4.21.

Urgent.

The Govt portrup with serve no useful purpose unless the SC is given at the same time. The directions noted by me on the petition submitted to me personally today is the day for "Karaga" & orders have not issued yet. This is excessively slack & unsatisfactory. In order such delays cause complications which can be avoided. Orders will issue for the withdrawal. I am surprised at the leisurely manner in which this has been dealt with.

22.4.21

WJ 22/4/21

With reference to the above, it is respectfully submitted that the directions noted by the Member on the petition referred to was communicated to the Govt on

NOTE.—Contn. sheet.

G. R. S. III-21

General and Revenue Secretariat. | File No. | of 19

Serial No.

in Const. no. 3707 by 19.4.21, the Sec. 12 noon on that d
with the members order having been
read the previous evening. Only the
red ink abstract of this Const. which
ought to have been made by the case worker before
the fresh receipt was abstracted
was not made & gave rise to the
impression that the orders were
not communicated. This has been
rectified now.

As for the draft of the letter which
issued to the Dy Com^r, I beg to state
that it was on file when the file
was subd & the second member
with the draft letter now under
consideration, but is unaccountably
missing. A copy of it has
been obtained from the Dy Com^rs
office & is subd for perusal.

The Lappal book herewith subd
also shows that the Const. was
delivered [illegible] in the Dy Office
on 19.4.21.

The present draft sending the
telegram is resubmitted for appl.

23/4/21

(X) Submitted.
The thing can't be overlooked
for is that the draft in
question was not on the
file at the time of its
submission to the Member.
How then it disappeared
out of the file of papers
is the point raised
explanation by me.
MSu
23.4.21.

XD

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

G. R. S. III-21

General and Revenue Secretariat. | File No | of 19

Serial No.

5548-40
23.4.21

Secret Member) W/ 23/4/21

I am glad to see that speech was given to the directions as intended. The absence of the abstract in the ~~case~~ file of the Govt Copy of the letter which issued at the time the file was dealt with by me, could not but create the impression it did. It was inevitable. It makes it clear what care requires to be taken when files are sent up for orders. No care is too much!

Rees
23.4.21

In lieu of
Orders already passed
I agree with Private Secy
to Sir Reginald's
Secret Member) W/ 23/4/21

5-5-63-225
26-4-1921.

action has been taken in the matter already, vide Memo. dated 19th April 1921 and no further action is necessary. Except perhaps to see that no further action is taken.

Rees
26.4.21 W/26/4/21

NOTE—Contn. sheet

2/84

G. R. S. III-2]

| General and Revenue Secretariat. | File No. | of 19 |
|---|---|---|

| Serial No. | |
|---|---|
| | Papers with the file and the subject mentioned in the note file 5204/21. |
| | 26/4/24 |
| | 27/4/24 |

NOTE—Contn sheet.

Letter No.3707/Muz.520-20-4 dated 19th April 1921, from the Muzrai Secretary to the Government of Mysore, to the Deputy Commissioner, Bangalore District.

---

Adverting to Government Proceedings No.4497-9/Muz.707-18- dated 29th June 1920, sanctioning a sum of Rs.500/- for Karaga and Rathotsava of Dharmarayaswami temple at Bangalore, I am directed to forward herewith petition registered No.2180 received from Yajman Subra yappa and certain others complaining that the said sum of Rs.500/- has been handed over by the Amildar to ~~the~~ Dharmadarsis Messrs Errappa Gowda and Venkate Gowda who are not likely to see to the smooth working of the Karaga festivities, and to request that under the circumstances represented by the Petitioners, you will be so good as to direct the Amildar at once to keep the amount himself, and have it disbursed under his authority pending final orders in the case.

---

"C O P Y"

B Ramanatha Rao

District Sheristedar,
Bangalore District.

88.




No. 727 / 1

GEN & REV. SEC.
20 APR 1921

OFFICE OF THE PRIVATE SECRETARY
TO HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE,
Wednesday 18 : 4 ..... 1921

6918

Petition, as shown below, to His Highness the Maharaja is transferred to the Secretary to the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore, ..... Revenue ..... Department, Bangalore for

Immediate Disposal.

AV
20/4/21

No. 757 of 1920-21

By Order,

for Private Secretary
to His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore

GBPM—1,000—5-4-20.

C. POST

NOTICE.

This form must accompany any inquiry made respecting this Telegram.




251

Office Stamp.

| Handed in at (Office of Origin) | Day | Hour | Words. |
|---|---|---|---|
| Bangalore | 17 | 10 25 ✕ Lt Lest | 44 |

TO

Received 10 H 40 M.

Sir Sri Krishnaraja Wodeyar Bahadur His Highness Maharaja Mysore Mysore

Contrary to resolutions passed in meetings of dharmadarsees dharmaraeyaswami temple Bangalore Amildar supports factitious spirit among shiglars ✓

N B — The name of the Sender, if telegraphed for, will be charged for the fees.

Lal Chand & Sons—3410—5-9 18—2,65,00 Lac (Addl 111).

Not received

To

The Deputy Commissioner,

Bangalore District, Bangalore.

Sir,

In continuation of this office ~~letter~~ letter No.3707 dated 19th April 1921, I am directed to forward herewith, for disposal, telegram registered No.2188 from Yajaman - Subrayappa of Bangalore, complaining that - the Amildar is supporting one of the factions among the Tigalars in the matter of their disputes about the Karaga celebration at the Dharmarayaswami temple, and that an immediate enquiry will bring to light how the temple money is misappropriated

I have etc.,

Secretary to Government,

Muzrai Department.

HRR.

XA

This is the letter in which the D.C. was asked to direct the Amildar at once to keep the sum of Rs 500 himself and to have it disbursed under his authority.

RJ 23/4/21